

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addrew COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1490
Alexandra, Vignua 22313-1480
www.uspfo.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/945,142	08/31/2001	Richard W. Avery	J-2605A	2501
28165	590 08.05.2003		d	
S.C. JOHNSON & SON, INC.			EXAMINER	
1525 HOWE S' RACINE, WI			MOORE, MARGARET G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/945,142	AVERY, RICHARD W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Margaret G. Moore	1712
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	in the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>20 May 2003</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 to 6 is/are pending in the appli		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1 to 6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	ind/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) =	accepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□ d	isapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa* See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor		
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	3) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)noformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/945,142

Art Unit: 1712

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brewer et al., Hanisch or Tanitsu et al.
- 3. Claims 1 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marks et al.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanisch or Tanitsu et al.
- 6. Claims 1 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayes et al.
- 7. Each of these rejections relies on the rationale detailed in the previous office action. Since applicants rely on the same argument in an effort to overcome each of these rejections, the Examiner will address these arguments simultaneously.

Specifically, in an effort to overcome each of these rejections, applicants state that the reference does not teach or suggest the use of the composition for the hydrophobic surface treatment of a glass surface and that applicants have discovered that the

Application/Control Number: 09/945,142

Art Unit: 1712

claimed composition provides hydrophobic surface treatment of a glass surface. This position is not sufficient in establishing novelty and/or unobviousness for many reasons.

First and foremost, it is well settled that the discovery of a new and unobvious property and use does not overcome anticipation when the claimed composition is known. Since the composition per se that is claimed is met by the prior art, there is no requirement that the prior art teach the same properties or use as applicants. Furthermore, products of identical chemical composition cannot have mutually exclusive properties. A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure (as in the instant situation), the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present.

On the other hand, the Examiner notes that it is well known in the art that silane coatings provide hydrophobic properties to the substrate being coated. For instance, a coating of methylsilane will result in hydrocarbon groups on the surface of the substrate which, obviously, are hydrophobic. Applicants' property is not an unexpected property.

As such, applicants have failed to overcome the prior art rejections by showing any novelty or unobviousness over the prior art.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1712

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 703-308-4334. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on 703-308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9311 for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Margaret/ G. Moore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1/712

mgm July 29, 2003